

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

*Correlation of Lipid Profile with Oral Glucose Challenge Test in Pregnant Women*Ridha Syed<sup>1</sup>, Lalna R. Takale<sup>1</sup> and Meghana K. Padwal<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry,<sup>1</sup>Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be) University Medical College, Pune-411030, Maharashtra, India**Abstract:**

**Background:** The hormonal and metabolic changes in pregnancy ensure adequate energy supply to the developing fetus but at the same time may affect perinatal outcomes. Oral glucose challenge test (OGCT) is routinely done to assess the glucose tolerance and is used for screening and detecting gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Derangements in lipid metabolism (dyslipidemia) that may occur during pregnancy have been associated with maternal complications like GDM, preeclampsia and preterm birth. Hence the aim of this study was to find the association of lipid profile with glucose tolerance by correlating lipid profile with OGCT. The objectives were to determine lipid profile and glucose tolerance by OGCT in pregnant women and to correlate lipid profile with OGCT. **Material and Methods:** This cross-sectional study included 100 pregnant women visiting the antenatal clinic. OGCT was done as per Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group (DIPSI) guidelines at 24-28 weeks. Lipid profile was done simultaneously. All estimations were done on Randox Biochemistry autoanalyzer. **Results:** The mean  $\pm$  SD for OGCT was  $113.6 \pm 22.5$ , Total cholesterol  $215.1 \pm 34.8$ , Triglycerides  $183.5 \pm 68.5$ , Low-density lipoprotein  $123.8 \pm 28.4$ , and high-density lipoprotein  $55.4 \pm 13.4$ . Pearson's correlation was positive for OGCT and Total cholesterol ( $r=0.25$ ), Triglycerides ( $r=0.35$ ), LDL ( $r=0.09$ ), HDL ( $r=0.09$ ). **Conclusion:** The changes in lipid metabolism during pregnancy are reflected in the increased levels of lipid profile parameters. The lipid profile is altered during pregnancy and these alterations are associated with OGCT. Thus, dyslipidemia may be associated with GDM and may lead to adverse fetal outcomes. Lipid profile hence could be considered as an additional investigation in routine antenatal checkup.

**Keywords:** OGCT, Lipid profile, dyslipidemia, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.

**Introduction:**

Pregnancy is a physiological phenomenon during which women undergo profound metabolic and hormonal

changes. These changes ensure adequate supply of metabolic fuel to the developing fetus.<sup>[1,2]</sup>

The importance of glucose as metabolic fuel for the fetus is emphasized over decades; however, lipids have not been investigated to the same extent. According to the 'fuel-mediated teratogenesis' theory, proposed by Frienkel, a mixture of nutrient's (glucose, lipids and amino acids) not only affects fetal growth and development but it also influences adverse outcomes such as obesity and diabetes.<sup>[3]</sup>

Lipid metabolism predominates over that of carbohydrate during the second and third trimester of pregnancy.<sup>[1,2]</sup> There is slight increase in lipid levels during early pregnancy. However, hyperlipidemia occurs during second and third trimester of pregnancy to provide metabolic fuel and nutrients to the developing fetus.<sup>[4]</sup>

Insulin resistance occurs under the influence of hormones which leads to impaired glucose tolerance and may be associated with dyslipidemia.<sup>[5,6,7]</sup> The insulin resistance is manifested around 24-28 weeks of gestation and progresses through the third trimester as well.<sup>[4]</sup> The net effect of this metabolic shift is elevated insulin resistance and increased lipolysis which leads to dyslipidemia.

Glucose intolerance that is first diagnosed during pregnancy is referred to as Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM).<sup>[5]</sup> Dyslipidemia during the antenatal period is found to be associated with maternal complications like gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), preeclampsia, intrahepatic cholestasis etc. There may be development of fetal complications like macrosomia, intrauterine growth retardation, preterm birth etc.<sup>[4,8]</sup> This may also be a risk factor for the development of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, atherosclerosis etc. in the mothers later in life. Furthermore, the offspring of these mothers may be prone to hypertension, obesity and Type 2 diabetes mellitus in adulthood.<sup>[4,9]</sup>

Dyslipidemia mainly involves increase in triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and decreased high-density lipoprotein (HDL). An increase in hepatic lipase activity, a decrease in lipoprotein lipase activity and delayed uptake

of chylomicron remnants along with the hormonal changes lead to these biochemical changes.<sup>[10]</sup>

Routine antenatal checkup mainly includes investigations like hemoglobin to detect anemia, oral glucose challenge test (OGCT) to detect GDM, blood pressure measurement along with urine analysis for proteinuria as an indicator of preeclampsia. Lipid profile is not routinely done during antenatal period. Recently, studies have shown that altered lipid metabolism is prevalent during normal pregnancy<sup>[1,2,5,10]</sup> Hence the aim of present study was to evaluate lipid profile in pregnant women and correlate it with OGCT.

### Material and Methods:

This cross-sectional study was carried out at University Medical College attached to a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital and included hundred (100) pregnant women. Pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic between the age of 20-40 years and in second or third trimester of gestation (as per last menstrual period), primi or multiparous, singleton pregnancy were included in the study. Pregnant women with previous history of Diabetes Mellitus, hypertension, renal and thyroid disorders, those on medications affecting biochemical profiles were excluded. Women having complications like molar pregnancy or twins were also excluded. Written informed consent from the participants and Institutional Ethical Committee approval was obtained before initiating the study.

OGCT was carried out by administering 75gm glucose powder dissolved in 250-300 ml water orally as per DIPSI guidelines. Sample was collected in fluoride vacutainer after 2 hours and plasma glucose levels were estimated by glucose oxidase peroxidase method.

At the same time sample was collected in plain vacutainer for estimation of serum Total Cholesterol<sup>[11]</sup>, Triglycerides (TG)<sup>[11]</sup>, and High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL)<sup>[12]</sup> which were estimated by enzymatic method on Automated Biochemistry analyzer. Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL) and Very Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (VLDL) were calculated by Friedewald equation<sup>[13]</sup>

Results for quantitative values were described as mean  $\pm$  SD and Pearson correlation coefficient to describe association between variables.

### Results:

The study conducted on 100 pregnant women revealed that 30 women had glucose intolerance while 72 had increased total cholesterol, 70 with increased triglycerides, 40 with increased LDL, and 5 women had decreased HDL.

According to the American Heart Association, dyslipidemia is defined as Total Cholesterol >200 mg/dl, or HDL-cholesterol <35 mg/dl or LDL-cholesterol >130mg/dl or Triglycerides >150mg/dl. As per the DIPSI guidelines, women with 2-hours Plasma glucose value  $\geq$ 140 mg/dl are considered to have GDM and those with values  $\geq$ 120 mg/dl have decreased gestational glucose tolerance (DGGT)<sup>[14,15]</sup>

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics as Mean  $\pm$  SD of the study subjects. Total cholesterol and Triglycerides were increased whereas the HDL and LDL levels were not significantly changed in the study group.

Among the 100 subjects, 33 were in the second trimester and 67 in their third trimester. Table 2 shows the OGCT and lipid profile values in these trimesters. Total cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL levels were higher in women in the third trimester whereas HDL levels were higher among women in the second trimester.

As shown in Table 3, 14 women were diagnosed with GDM, and 16 DGGT. Seventy women had normal OGCT. The mean glucose levels after OGCT were 155.6 $\pm$ 11.6 in GDM and 106.9 $\pm$ 15.3 in women with normal tolerance test.

Pearson's Correlation for OGCT and lipid profile parameters is shown in Table 4. There is positive correlation between OGCT and total cholesterol, triglycerides, VLDL and TG/HDL ratio.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the study group

| Variables                 | Mean +/- SD    |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| Age in years              | 26 +/- 4.4     |
| Gestational age in weeks  | 27.4 +/- 6.5   |
| OGCT (mg/dl)              | 113.6 +/- 22.5 |
| Total Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 215.1 +/- 34.8 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl)     | 183.5 +/- 68.5 |
| HDL (mg/dl)               | 55.4 +/- 13.4  |
| LDL (mg/dl)               | 123.8 +/- 28.4 |
| VLDL (mg/dl)              | 36.8 +/- 13.7  |

Table 2: Trimester wise difference in OGCT and Lipid profile

| Parameter (mg/dl) | Second Trimester (n=33)<br>Mean +/-SD | Third Trimester (n=67)<br>Mean +/-SD |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| OGCT              | 113.3 +/- 22.6                        | 113.8 +/- 22.6                       |
| Total Cholesterol | 203.7 +/- 22                          | 220.6 +/- 38.5                       |
| Triglycerides     | 163.7 +/- 67.2                        | 195.2 +/- 67.2                       |
| HDL               | 56.6 +/- 12.8                         | 55.2 +/- 13.8                        |
| LDL               | 114.3 +/- 21.1                        | 126.2 +/- 30.7                       |
| VLDL              | 33.2 +/- 21.1                         | 39.3 +/- 30.7                        |

Table 3: Comparison of OGCT and Lipid profile in women without GDM (Non-GDM) and with GDM

| Parameter (mg/dl) | Non-GDM (n=86)<br>Mean +/-SD | GDM (n=14)<br>Mean +/-SD | p value |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| OGCT              | 106.9+/-15.3                 | 155.6+/-11.6             | *0.000  |
| Total Cholesterol | 211.8+/-32.0                 | 237.5+/-43.1             | *0.01   |
| Triglycerides     | 177.3+/-56.4                 | 231.1+/-111.6            | *0.008  |
| HDL               | 54.8+/-12.6                  | 61.2+/-17.4              | 0.13    |
| LDL               | 121.3+/-28.9                 | 130.1+/-24.5             | 0.22    |
| VLDL              | 35.9+/-11.3                  | 46.2+/-22.3              | *0.01   |
| TG/HDL ratio      | 3.44+/-1.45                  | 3.84 +/- 1.52            | *0.000  |

\*p<0.05-Significant

Table 4: Pearson’s Correlation Coefficients for OGCT with Lipid Profile

| Parameter         | r value |
|-------------------|---------|
| Total Cholesterol | 0.25    |
| Triglycerides     | 0.35    |
| HDL               | 0.09    |
| LDL               | 0.09    |
| VLDL              | 0.34    |
| TG/HDL            | 0.21    |

**Discussion:**

The prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in different parts of India varies from 3.8 -17.9%. In Western India it is reported to be 9.5 %.<sup>[16]</sup>The prevalence of GDM in our study was found to be 14%. The metabolic changes during pregnancy are attributed to insulin resistance which usually develops at 24-28 weeks and progresses through the later gestational period. GDM due to the insulin resistance is however seen in a few women.<sup>[4]</sup> OGCT is a universal screening test done to detect GDM. DIPSI recommends an easy, single step OGCT which is feasible and acceptable in Indian women.<sup>[14,17]</sup>The OGCT findings of our study are similar to studies done by BhattAA et al, Hossain et al <sup>[4]</sup>and Iimura Y et al<sup>[18]</sup> The balance between physiological adaptations and fluctuations in lipid metabolism are linked to increased estrogen levels and insulin resistance. This explains the association of hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia in women with GDM.<sup>[19]</sup> However, the alterations in lipid profile during pregnancy which have been studied since a decade have inconsistent findings. In the present study, total cholesterol, triglycerides,

VLDL, HDL and LDL levels were found to be increased during pregnancy. This can be explained by the fact that increased TGs provide maternal fuel in order to save glucose for the fetus. The rate of synthesis of VLDL is increased due to the estrogen. Conversion of this VLDL to LDL is responsible for the increased LDL levels. LDL is supposed to be important for placental steroidogenesis. Increased level of HDL is also under the influence of estrogen.<sup>[2]</sup>The elevated levels of estrogens during pregnancy cause decrease in the activity of Lipoprotein lipase thus reducing the clearance of lipids. This leads to increased total cholesterol and increased synthesis of TG and thus LDL.<sup>[4]</sup> Hossain et al have found no significant difference in lipid profile between GDM and normal glucose tolerance group. Bharati KR et al have reported significantly higher TC, TG and VLDL but no significant difference in HDL and LDL in women with GDM. This study has concluded that GDM can be predicted by lipid profile and fetal macrosomia may be a consequence of hypertriglyceridemia.<sup>[6]</sup> Vani K found that total cholesterol, TG, VLDL and LDL were significantly higher in GDM whereas HDL was higher in controls as compared to GDM.No significant correlation of maternal age and lipid profile was found in GDM, however, TC significantly correlated in the non-GDM women.<sup>[7]</sup> Iimura Y et al suggested that lipid profile cannot predict GDM. They reported thatTG was higher in GDM but not statistically significant and TC, HDL LDL were not significantly changed. Higher levels of TG, lower levels of TC and HDL, no significant difference in LDL was reported by Yuan Li et al. GDM was positively correlated with TG and Atherogenic index of plasma (AIP), and negatively correlated with TC and HDL. This study also concluded that TC was protective factor whereas AIP is a risk factor for GDM <sup>[20]</sup> We found that TC, TG, LDL and VLDL were higher in women during the third trimester as compared to those in the second trimester. However, HDL levels were higher during the second trimester. These findings are similar to those of Raghuram Pusukuru <sup>[1]</sup> A positive correlation was found between OGCT and total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, VLDL and TG/HDL ratio. This implies that glucose intolerance seen in GDM may be associated with dyslipidemia. The risk of developing GDM can also be predicted by using different lipid ratios as insulin resistance is the basic underlying pathophysiology in this condition.<sup>[21]</sup> We found that TG/HDL ratio to be higher in GDM. Barat S et al found significant difference in the LDL/HDL, TG/LDL and TG/HDL ratio in women with

and without GDM. Maryam Jameshorani et al, Zaini et al also have reported TG/HDL ratio to be significantly higher in women with GDM.<sup>[22,23]</sup> A study by Dos-Santos Weiss et al reported TG/HDL ratio to be a predictor of GDM.<sup>[24]</sup>

Thus, the findings of various studies show inconsistent variations in lipid profile during pregnancy. The studies have also emphasized that lipid profile may be associated with adverse maternal outcomes like gestational diabetes mellitus.

### Conclusion:

The hormonal changes during pregnancy lead to insulin resistance which in turn may cause derangements in lipid profile. The predominant finding revealed in most of the studies is increased triglyceride levels and varying results for total cholesterol, VLDL, HDL and LDL. The positive correlation of OGCT and lipid

profile should be considered as a predictive factor for GDM and dyslipidemia which may affect perinatal outcomes. Lipid profile hence could be considered as an investigation that could also be done during antenatal care.

### Limitations:

The limitations of the study are sample size and lack of information regarding factors which may affect the findings like weight, Body-mass index (BMI). To better understand the derangements in lipid profile and its effect on adverse pregnancy outcomes, trimester-wise longitudinal study of lipid parameters was not done. Though GDM was diagnosed as per well accepted DIPSI guidelines, further confirmation could be done.

**Sources of supports:** Nil

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

### References

1. Pusukuru R, Shenoi AS, Kyada PK, Ghodke B, Mehta V, Bhuta K, Bhatia A. Evaluation of Lipid Profile in Second and Third Trimester of Pregnancy. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 2016;10(3):QC12-16.
2. Parchwani D, Patel D. Status Of Lipid Profile In Pregnancy. *National Journal of Medical Research* 2011;1(01):10-12..
3. Kulkarni SR, Kumaran K, Rao SR, Chougule SD, Deokar TM, Bhalerao AJ, Solat VA, Bhat DS, Fall CH, Yajnik CS. Maternal lipids are as important as glucose for fetal growth: findings from the Pune Maternal Nutrition Study. *Diabetes Care* 2013 ;36(9):2706-2713.
4. Hossain, M., Rahman, A., Mahjabeen, S., Zaman, M., Abedin, M., Mahmood, T. et al. Comparison of Serum Lipid Profile between Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Pregnant Women with Normal Glucose Tolerance. *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines* 2020; 8: 148-159.
5. Amit D. Sonagra, Shylaja T.V., Zahoorunissa Debo, Asambi Makandar. Study of Serum Lipid profile in Normal Pregnancy. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry* 2017; 13(2) :175-182.
6. Bharati K R, Vijayalakshmi S, Shrunga RP. A study of lipid parameters among GDM and non GDM pregnant women: a hospital based study. *International Journal of Reproduction Contraception Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2017;6(12):5488-5490.
7. Vani K. Alterations in lipid profile in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and type 2 DM women during pregnancy. *International Journal of Medical Research & Review* 2015;3(8):800-804.
8. Singh U, Yadav S, Mehrotra S, Natu SM, Kumari K, Yadav YS. Serum lipid profile in Early Pregnancy as a Predictor of Preeclampsia. *International Journal of Medical Research & Review* 2013;1(2):56-62.
9. Farsangi Z, Zoghi G, Kheirandish M, Shahbazi R, Mahmoudi M, et al. Lipid Profile in Pregnant Women with and Without Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Case-Control Study, *Hormozgan Medical Journal* 2021;25(1):3-8.
10. Alemu A, Abebe M, Biadgo B, Terefe B, Baynes HW. Biochemical Profiles of Pregnant and Non-Pregnant Women attending at the University of Gondar Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Science* 2018;28(3):331-340.
11. Nader Rifai and G. Russell Warnick. Lipids, Lipoproteins, apolipoproteins and other cardiovascular risk factors. Teitz. Textbook of Clinical Chemistry. 4th Edition. Section IV. Chapter 26. Pages 943-48.
12. Pisani T, Gebiski CP, Leary ET, et al. Accurate Direct determination of High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Assay. *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine* 1995; 119(12): 1127-1135.
13. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of

- the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clinical Chemistry* 1972; 18(6): 499–502.
14. Rani PR, Begum J. Screening and Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Where Do We Stand. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 2016; 10(4):QE01-04.
  15. Seshiah V; Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group. Fifth National Conference of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group, India. *Journal of The Association of Physicians of India* 2010; 58:329-330.
  16. Chudasama RK, Kadri A M, Ratnu A, Jain M, Kamariya CP. Magnitude of gestational diabetes mellitus, its influencing factors and diagnostic accuracy of capillary blood testing for its detection at a Tertiary Care Centre, Rajkot, Gujarat. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine* 2019;44:142-146.
  17. Mohan V, Usha S, Uma R. Screening for gestational diabetes in India: Where do we stand? *Journal of Postgraduate Medicine* 2015;61(3):151-154.
  18. Iimura Y, Matsuura M, Yao Z, Ito S, Fujiwara M, Yoshitsugu M, Miyauchi A, Hiyoshi T. Lack of predictive power of plasma lipids or lipoproteins for gestational diabetes mellitus in Japanese women. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation* 2015;6(6):640-646.
  19. Hu J, Gillies CL, Lin S, Stewart ZA, Melford SE et al., Association of maternal lipid profile and gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 292 studies and 97,880 women. *E Clinical Medicine* 2021; 34:1-7
  20. Li, Yuan & Chen, Wenqing & Wang, Xiaoqian & Jiang, Fengjuan & Chen, Xiaotian. The Relationship Between Lipid Profile and Outcomes of Pregnant Women with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertensive Disorders: A Retrospective Study. *Research Square* 2020; 10:1-14.
  21. Barat S, Ghanbarpour A, Bouzari Z, Batebi Z. Triglyceride to HDL cholesterol ratio and risk for gestational diabetes and birth of a large-for-gestational-age newborn. *Caspian Journal of Internal Medicine* 2018;9(4):368-375.
  22. Maryam Jameshorani, Alireza Arefzadeh, Pooyan Khalighinejad, Sadegh Ranjbar and Saeideh Mazloomzadeh. Evaluation of Triglyceride to High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Ratio and Atherogenic Indices in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International* 2018;22 (4):1-9.
  23. Anjum F, Zaini R, Shami A, Rehaili A, Kufia R. Glycated hemoglobin and lipid profile association among pregnant women in Saudi Arabian population. *International Journal of Women's Health and Reproduction Sciences* 2019; 1;7 (2):216-222.
  24. dos Santos-Weiss IC, Réa RR, Fadel- Picheth CM, et al. The plasma logarithm of the triglyceride /HDL-cholesterol ratio is a predictor of low risk gestational diabetes in early pregnancy. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 2013; 418: 1-4.

**Address for correspondence:**

Dr. Lalna R. Takale  
 Department of Biochemistry  
 Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be) University  
 Medical College, Pune-411030, Maharashtra, India.  
 Mobile no: +91 9922431810  
 Email: lalna.takale@bharatividyaapeeth.edu

**How to cite this article:**

Ridha Syed, Lalna R. Takale and Meghana K. Padwal. Correlation of Lipid Profile with Oral Glucose Challenge Test in Pregnant Women. *Walawalkar International Medical Journal* 2024; 11 (1) 54-58  
<http://www.wimjournal.com>

Received date: 28/05/2024

Revised date: 08/08/2024

Accepted date: 09/08/2024